# UV LASER PHOTOCHEMISTRY OF DIBORANE AT 193 nm: **QUANTUM YIELD FOR BH<sub>3</sub> PRODUCTION**

MANFRED P. IRION<sup>†</sup> and KARL-L. KOMPA

Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik, 8046 Garching (F.R.G.) (Received May 21, 1983; in revised form July 17, 1985)

#### Summary

The quantum yield  $\phi_{BH_1}$  for BH<sub>3</sub> production from ArF-laser-excited  $B_2H_6$  was determined to be 2.00 ± 0.25 by trapping with PF<sub>3</sub>. The former postulate of BH<sub>3</sub> as a chain carrier in ArF-laser-induced  $B_2H_6-D_2$  exchange is confirmed.

# 1. Introduction

In our previous paper [1], we reported that the ArF-laser-induced deuteration of  $B_2H_6$  proceeds via a chain reaction, with  $BH_3$  as a chain carrier. The observed fast exchange of the irradiated  $B_2H_6$  with  $D_2$  was most favourably explained by the elementary step

$$BH_3 + D_2 \longrightarrow BH_2D + HD$$

together with the consecutive reactions of BH<sub>2</sub>D. From the computer modelling of the final  $B_2H_5D$  concentrations as a function of the energy absorbed, there was evidence for the homogeneous dissociation

$$B_2H_6 + h\nu \longrightarrow [B_2H_6^*] \longrightarrow 2BH_3$$
(2a)

to be the dominant primary step in the UV laser photolysis of  $B_2H_6$  at 193 nm.

In the current literature, however, the heterogeneous dissociation

$$B_2H_6 + h\nu \longrightarrow B_2H_5 + H^*$$
(2b)

is generally accepted as the main primary step of  $B_2H_6$  photolysis [2-5], although the B<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>' radical as such has never been seen. Therefore, more direct proof of the dominant reaction (2a) is desirable.

(1)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Current address: Elektrochemie, Technische Hochschule Darmstadt, Petersenstr. 20, D-6100 Darmstadt, F.R.G.

As the physical detection of a short-lived highly reactive intermediate such as  $BH_3$  imposes serious problems, chemical trapping was used in this study. In principle, the electron-donating ligands CO and PF<sub>3</sub> are well suited as trapping agents for the electron-deficient  $BH_3$ . They both form an adduct in a very fast reaction.  $BH_3 \cdot CO$ , however, shows a much stronger absorption at 193 nm than  $B_2H_6$  itself [6], and therefore is ruled out in this case.

If the competing reactions

$BH_3 + BH_3 \longrightarrow B_2H_6$ $k_3 = 2 \times 10^9  \text{l mol}^{-1}  \text{s}^{-1}  [7]$	$DII_3 D_2II_6$	$k_3 = 2 \times 10^9  \mathrm{l \ mol^{-1} \ s^{-1}} [7]$	(3)
---	-----------------	---	-----

$BH_3 + B_2H_6 \longrightarrow [B_3H_9] \longrightarrow \dots$	$k_4 = 3 \times 10^7 \mathrm{l} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ [8]	(4)
--	--	-----

$$BH_3 + BH_3 \cdot PF_3 \longrightarrow B_2H_6 + PF_3 \qquad k_6 = 4 \times 10^{-3}k_5 (298 \text{ K}) [10] \tag{6}$$

of  $BH_3$ , whose rate constants have been determined, are considered it becomes apparent that even at a moderate excess of  $PF_3$  over  $B_2H_6$  the trapping reaction (5) should be strongly preferred to reactions (3), (4) and (6).

# 2. Experimental details

In a glass cell, 105 mm in length and 35 mm in diameter, mixtures of  $B_2H_6$  and  $PF_3$  were prepared and irradiated using an ArF laser. The cell was equipped with a pair of Suprasil windows and a pair of KBr windows, perpendicular to each other, allowing IR spectra to be recorded immediately after UV irradiation. In order to avoid polymer formation from  $B_2H_6$ , the rectangular (5 mm × 25 mm) beam of a Lambda Physik model EMG-102 laser was expanded and a spherical portion about 20 mm in diameter was selected from it. The incident energy was limited to 30 mJ pulse<sup>-1</sup> so that the photon density did not exceed 10 mJ cm<sup>-2</sup>. The laser was operated at a repetition rate of about 0.5 Hz.

The amount of  $BH_3 \cdot PF_3$  generated was measured by employing quantitative IR absorption spectroscopy, using a Beckman type IR-12A grating spectrometer. Chien and Bauer [11] reported the extinction coefficient of this compound at 943 cm<sup>-1</sup> (its most intense absorption band [12]) as  $0.117 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ Torr}^{-1}$ . Fortunately, this band fits exactly between one band of  $B_2H_6$  and another of  $PF_3$ , permitting the measurement of low  $BH_3PF_3$ concentrations in the presence of high  $B_2H_6$  or  $PF_3$  contents.

The whole experimental procedure could be carried out sufficiently quickly that it was unnecessary to correct for the thermal reaction between  $B_2H_6$  and  $PF_3$ , which proved to be fairly slow for the pressures employed.

The diborane was prepared according to standard methods [13] at the Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität München. Phosphorous trifluoride of unspecified purity was delivered by Fluorochem (Gt. Britain). It was found to contain considerable amounts of HCl and HF and therefore exhibited strong absorption at 193 nm, while pure  $PF_3$  should not absorb at

#### TABLE 1

$n^0$	<i>Р</i> <sup>0</sup> В <sub>2</sub> Н <sub>6</sub>	$P^{0}_{\rm PF_{3}}/P^{0}_{\rm B_{2}H_{6}}$	$\phi_{{f BH}_3}$
1	7.0	1.4	$2.09 \pm 0.17$
2	7.1	6.0	$1.83 \pm 0.20$
3	7.1	10.1	$2.04 \pm 0.21$
4	7.1	15.2	$1.85 \pm 0.16$
5	7.2	18.9	$2.10 \pm 0.18$

Experimental quantum yields  $\phi_{BH_2}$  for BH<sub>3</sub> production from ArF-laser-excited B<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>

 $P^{0}_{B_{2}H_{4}}$ , initial partial pressure of  $B_{2}H_{6}$  in torr;  $P^{0}_{PF_{2}}$ , initial partial pressure of  $PF_{3}$  in torr.

wavelengths longer than 160 nm [14]. Thus the sample was purified by bubbling the gas sequentially through tri-N-butylamine and concentrated sulphuric acid and drying with phosphorous pentoxide.

# 3. Results and discussion

Table 1 shows the  $BH_3$  quantum yields of  $B_2H_6$  determined in the above-described way for several runs under different experimental conditions.

Whereas the  $B_2H_6$  partial pressure is kept almost constant, the excess of  $PF_3$  is varied by a factor of about 20. However, as was anticipated with reference to reactions (3) - (6), this change did not affect the BH<sub>3</sub> quantum yields. The trapping reaction (5) is always fast enough to convert all BH<sub>3</sub> to BH<sub>3</sub>·PF<sub>3</sub>.

Within the range of experimental error, the quantum yield  $\phi_{BH_3}$  for BH<sub>3</sub> formation by photodissociation of B<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> at 193 nm is determined to be 2.00 ± 0.25.

For one molecule excited two  $BH_3$  fragments are formed and the efficiency of the heterogeneous dissociation to  $B_2H_5$  + H<sup>°</sup> (reaction (2b)) must indeed be very low. This implies also that the  $B_2H_6$  molecule is not de-excited by collisions with ground state  $B_2H_6$ , or added foreign molecules such as  $PF_3$ , before dissociation occurs. In this way, our previous postulate in ref. 1 is affirmed. An additional experiment serves to confirm this result. If  $B_2H_6$  is photolysed in a tenfold excess of  $D_2$  and a 20-fold excess of  $PF_3$ , again only  $BH_3 \cdot PF_3$  is formed with a quantum yield of about 2.0.

#### 4. Conclusion

We have demonstrated that the homogeneous primary step (2a),  $B_2H_6 + h\nu \rightarrow 2BH_3$ , plays the dominant role in the UV laser photolysis of  $B_2H_6$  at 193 nm. The contribution from the alternative heterogeneous dissociation (2b) turns out to be negligible.

# References

- 1 M. P. Irion and K.-L. Kompa, J. Chem. Phys., 76 (1982) 2338.
- 2 W. C. Kreye and R. A. Marcus, J. Chem. Phys., 37 (1962) 419.
- 3 M. Buffalini and J. E. Todd, J. Phys. Chem., 72 (1968) 3367.
- 4 J. H. Clark and R. G. Anderson, Appl. Phys. Lett., 32 (1978) 46.
- 5 J. A. Sullivan, Los Alamos Scient. Lab. Rep. AP-DO-79:11, 1979.
- 6 W. Fuss, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Frankfurt, 1971.
- 7 G. W. Mappes, S. A. Fridmann and T. P. Fehlner, J. Phys. Chem., 74 (1970) 3307.
- 8 S. A. Fridmann and T. P. Fehlner, Inorg. Chem., 11 (1972) 936.
- 9 S. A. Fridmann and T. P. Fehlner, J. Phys. Chem., 75 (1971) 2711.
- 10 A. B. Burg and Y.-C. Fu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88 (1965) 1147.
- 11 K. R. Chien and S. H. Bauer, J. Phys. Chem., 80 (1976) 1405.
- 12 R. C. Taylor, R. W. Rudolph, R. J. Wyma and V. D. Dunning, J. Raman Spectrosc., 2 (1974) 175.
- 13 W. Jeffers, Chem. Ind., (1961) 431.
- A. D. Norman and W. L. Jolly, Inorg. Synth., 11 (1968) 15.
- 14 C. M. Humphries, A. D. Walsh and P. A. Warsop, Discuss. Faraday Soc., 35 (1963) 148.